

DECK OF DOOM

aka The Engagement Deck

Using The Engagement Deck

- Syllabus statement
- Explanation + demo on first day
- Counts in final grade **grade bump at cut-off vs. 5%**
- Consistent + strategic implementation
 - **I average 7-8 questions/class**
 - **Difficult or new material → volunteers**
 - **Small group discussions before questions**
 - **Less threatening question formats**
 - **Checking in with “on deck”**
 - **Push on an “I don’t know”**

This semester Dr. Cadwell will use a deck of cards in which everyone's name appears once to enhance participation from class. Because the purpose of this class is to expand upon principles learned in Mass & Energy Balances, and to further prepare for future courses in Thermodynamics, Fluids, Heat and Mass Transfer, and Reactor Design, connections constantly need to be drawn between review material and new material, to help us get a better “feel” for the underlying concepts of chemical engineering.

Frequent questions to the class, calling on whoever is the next card in the deck, is meant to provide feedback as to whether those connections are being made, and to help students stay engaged during class. They're not meant to be evaluative, and points are not awarded based upon correctness of answers.

If a question is engaged with thoughtfully, the student will earn an Engagement Point (marked on the card). Thoughtful engagement could be answering the question (correctly or incorrectly) with explanation, explaining what factors may need to be considered to answer, explaining what relevant point they are stuck on/confused about, noting a similarity to another specific problem, or indicating where specifically in our notes/in-class activities we might look for an answer.

Guessing/answering *without* explanation, passing or saying “I don’t know” alone does not constitute thoughtful engagement. At the end of the semester, any student within one standard deviation on the class Engagement Point average will earn at least 4% of the 5% of the final grade attributed to Engagement & Participation.

ABET Student Outcome 3

3. an ability to communicate effectively with a **range of audiences**

Presenting technical information to non-tech audience

$G_y := 0.678 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{s} \cdot \text{m}^2}$ $G_x := 0.678 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{s} \cdot \text{m}^2}$ $T_w := (273.15 + 30) \cdot \text{K}$ $\text{slope}_y := 1.20$
 $y_b := 0.04$ $y_a := 0.05 \cdot y_b = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ $x_a := 0$ $\frac{y_b}{y_a} = 20$ $m_y := 3000 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{hr}}$ $P := 1 \text{ atm}$
 $M_y := (29 \cdot 96 + 17 \cdot 04) \frac{\text{gm}}{\text{mole}} = 0.029 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{mol}}$ $M_x := 18 \frac{\text{gm}}{\text{mole}}$ $R_{IG} := 8.314 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{mole} \cdot \text{K}}$
 $G_M := \frac{G_y}{M_y} = 23.773 \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}}$ $L_M := \frac{G_x}{M_x} = 37.667 \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}}$ $\rho_y := \frac{P \cdot M_y}{R_{IG} \cdot T} = 1.147 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$
 $mGmLm := \text{slope} \frac{G_M}{L_M} = 0.757$ $\rho_x := 55.27 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\text{mole}}{\text{m}^3}$ $M_x = 994.86 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$ $S_c := \frac{m_y}{G_y} = 1.229 \text{ m}$
 $N_{Oy} := \frac{1}{1 - mGmLm} \cdot \ln \left[(1 - mGmLm) \frac{y_b}{y_a} + mGmLm \right] = 7.108$ $D_c := \sqrt{\frac{4 \cdot S_c}{\pi}} = 1.251 \text{ m}$
 $u_y := \frac{G_y}{\rho_y} = 1.94 \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{s}}$ $C_s := \frac{u_y}{\sqrt{\frac{\rho_y}{\rho_x - \rho_y}}}$ I made this useless for the y-axis calculation
 $x_{axis} := \frac{G_x}{G_y} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_y}{\rho_x}} = 0.034$ $\sigma_L := 0.07126 \frac{\text{N}}{\text{m}}$ $K_5 := 5.23$ $d_p := 38 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ $\epsilon = 9.807 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}^2}$ $\mu_y := 1.869 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s}$
 $y_{axis} := C_s \cdot F_p^{0.5} \cdot \nu^{0.05} = 0.636$ $a_w := a \left[1 - e^{-1.45 \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\sigma_L} \right)^{0.75} \left(\frac{G_x}{a_w \mu_x} \right)^{0.1} \left(\frac{G_x^2}{\rho_x \sigma_L a} \right)^{0.2} \left(G_x^2 \frac{a}{\rho_x^2 g} \right)^{-0.05}} \right] = 41.532 \frac{1}{\text{m}}$
 $k_L := 0.0051 \left(\frac{G_x}{a_w \mu_x} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{\mu_x}{\rho_x D_x} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (a \cdot d_p)^{0.4} \left(\frac{\rho_x}{\mu_x g} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} = 6.921 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}}$
 $k_G := \frac{a \cdot D_y \cdot K_5}{R_{IG} \cdot T} \left(\frac{G_y}{a \mu_y} \right)^{0.7} \left(\frac{\mu_y}{\rho_y D_y} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot (a \cdot d_p)^{-2.0} = 1.394 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{s} \cdot \text{mol}}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}}$
 $H_y := \frac{G_M}{k_G \cdot a_w \cdot P} = 0.405 \text{ m}$ $H_x := \frac{L_M}{k_L \cdot a_w} = 0.237 \text{ m}$ $k_L \cdot a_w = \left(\frac{\rho_x}{M_x} \right)$

$H_{Oy_Onda} := H_y + mGmLm \cdot H_x = 0.585 \text{ m}$ This is only about 65% of value we got from methods in class. I'd definitely trust the specific plot more.
 $Z_{T_Onda} := N_{Oy} \cdot H_{Oy_Onda} = 4.157 \text{ m}$ In class we got
 $\Delta P_{Onda} := Z_{T_Onda} \cdot \Delta P_L = 0.255 \text{ kPa}$ $H_{Oy_simple} := 0.91 \text{ m}$
 $Z_{T_classexample} := H_{Oy_simple} \cdot N_{Oy} = 6.468 \text{ m}$

1,821,990 USD Utilities: 35,544 USD/Year ✓ Energy Savings: ___ MW (___%) Exchangers - Unknown: 0 OK: 0 Risk: 0
 sheetsheet | ABSORBER Column Internals INT-1 | ABSORBER (RadFrac) - Summary | +
 Status: Active
 Column description: [] Input Complete
 Add New Auto Section Duplicate Import Template Export Template View Internals Summary

Name	Start Stage	End Stage	Mode	Internal Type	Tray/Packing Type	Tray Details		Packing Details			Tray Spacing/Section Packed Height	Diameter
						Number of Passes	Number of Downcomers	Vendor	Material	Dimension		
CS-1	1	3	Rating	Packed	INTX			GENERIC	CERAMIC	2-IN OR 50-F	12.54 meter	0.927 meter

- Don't update pressure drop
- Update pressure drop from top stage
- Update pressure drop from bottom stage
- Include static vapor head in pressure drop calculations
- Calculate pressure drop across sump

Sump

Diameter: [] ft

Liquid residence time: 0.0166667 hr

Liquid level: [] ft



Cross-cohort Project

- CEN 442
 - Unit operations technical design projects
 - Present to freshmen - communication goals
 - Evaluate feedback
- ECS 101
 - Final project is a slide presentation
 - Watch CEN 442 presentations & provide feedback
 - Give own presentations

Problem Statement

- Communicating technical information to other engineers and scientists is tough enough, but frequently you're going to need to be able to present to non-specialized or even entirely non-technical audiences, whether it's engineers not familiar with the type of information you're sharing, marketing or other administrative staff, clients without technical backgrounds, or even just the general public.
- Your team will design an 8-12 minute presentation with supporting materials based upon one of your previous design projects and present it to her ECS 101 class. You will clearly define your audience, communication goals, and a method by which to establish how effectively you met those goals upon delivering your presentation.