ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR STUDENT REFLECTIONS	
Levels	Criteria
Reflective practitioner	Clarity: The language is clear and expressive. The reader can create a mental picture of the situation being described. Abstract concepts are explained accurately. Explanation of concepts makes sense to an uninformed reader.  Relevance: The learning experience being reflected upon is relevant and meaningful to student and course learning goals.  Analysis: The reflection moves beyond simple description of the experience to an analysis of how the experience contributed to student understanding of self, others, and/or course concepts.  Interconnections: The reflection demonstrates connections between the experience and material from other courses; past experience; and/or personal goals.  Self-criticism: The reflection demonstrates ability of the student to question their own biases, stereotypes, preconceptions, and/or assumptions and define new modes of thinking as a result.
Aware practitioner	Clarity: Minor, infrequent lapses in clarity and accuracy.  Relevance: The learning experience being reflected upon is relevant and meaningful to student and course learning goals.  Analysis: The reflection demonstrates student attempts to analyze the experience but analysis lacks depth.  Interconnections: The reflection demonstrates connections between the experience and material from other courses; past experience; and/or personal goals.  Self-criticism: The reflection demonstrates ability of the student to question their own biases, stereotypes, preconceptions.
Reflection novice	Clarity: There are frequent lapses in clarity and accuracy.  Relevance: Student makes attempts to demonstrate relevance, but the relevance is unclear to the reader.  Analysis: Student makes attempts at applying the learning experience to understanding of self, others, and/or course concepts but fails to demonstrate depth of analysis.  Interconnections: There is little to no attempt to demonstrate connections between the learning experience and previous other personal and/or learning experiences.  Self-criticism: There is some attempt at self-criticism, but the self-reflection fails to demonstrate a new awareness of personal biases, etc.
Unacceptable	<u>Clarity</u> : Language is unclear and confusing throughout. Concepts are either not discussed or are presented inaccurately. <u>Relevance</u> : Most of the reflection is irrelevant to student and/or course learning goals. <u>Analysis</u> : Reflection does not move beyond description of the learning experience(s). <u>Interconnection</u> : No attempt to demonstrate connections to previous learning or experience. <u>Self-criticism</u> : Not attempt at self-criticism.

<sup>\*</sup> Developed by **Steven Jones**, Coordinator, Office of Service Learning, Indiana University—Purdue University Indianapolis